

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/325,698	06/03/1999	DAVID X. CHEN	064731.0119	3154	
7590 11/14/2003			EXAMINER		
BAKER & BO			NGUYEN, HANH N		
2001 ROSS AVENUE DALLAS, TX 752012980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	3· 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/32	25,698	CHEN ET AL.				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit	-			
			Nguyen	2662				
<i>Tf</i> Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this commu	inication appears oi	1 the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress			
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (iii) - If the perioic - If NO perioic - Failure to iii - Any reply rearned pat	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN so of time may be available under the provision of MONTHS from the mailing date of this cond for reply specified above is less than thirty and for reply is specified above, the maximum reply within the set or extended period for repreceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a continuous conti	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become nis communication, ever	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time IONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) fi	led on <i>Application</i>	<u>filed on 6/3/99</u> .					
2a)∐ Thi	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition (of Claims							
5)∭ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	Of the above claim(s) is/im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) <u>1-20 and 22</u> is/are rejecte im(s) <u>21 and 23</u> is/are objected im(s) are subject to restr	d. to.						
Application I	Papers							
10)∭ The App Rep	specification is objected to by to drawing(s) filed on is/are plicant may not request that any objected oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted of	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,			
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice of [References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 10, 14, 18, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wiley et al.** (US Pat. No. 6,470,018 B1) in view of **Chopping** (US Pat. No. 6,041,055).

In claims 1, 10 and 18, 19, 22 **Wiley et al.** discloses, in Fig.5, an interworking unit 202 receives STS-N formatted call, where N is an integer at interface 506 (a primary rate switching fabric receiving transport signal at a first level). See col.18, lines 62-67. The Interworking unit 202 (subrate switching fabric) also receives DS-1 formatted calls (TDM) at interface 510. (See col.19, lines 1-5). The TDM call signaling is transmitted via TDM connection 144 (see Fig.2) from a communication device 142 (a subrate switching fabric receiving TDM information).

ATM cross connect 204 provides virtual connections (ATM switching fabric receiving third transport signals) carrying ATM cells converted from TDM call signaling; and selects a VCI "A" for transmitting over ATM connection 118 (switching ATM cells among third transport signals). See col.12, lines 27-50. **Wiley et al.** does not disclose TDM signal is more granular than the first signal level.

Art Unit: 2662

Chopping discloses, in Fig.1, a switching fabric comprising two switches of different granularities, one is more granularity than the other. They are connected to a primary rate termination (one switch whose granularity is more than the other). See col.5, lines 10-20 & Abtract.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to use the **Chopping** 's method in order to apply the difference in granularity of switches with **Wiley et al.** so that TDM signals can be switched at a more granularity level than STS-1 level.

In claim 3, **Wiley et al.** discloses Sonet signal can transmitted at fractions of STS-1 such as virtual tributary level whose rate is 60912 Mbps (switching primary rate signals at a virtual tributary level). See col.7, lines 30-40.

In claim 4, **Wiley et al.** discloses Add-Drop Multiplexer 206 provides a call signaling via virtual connection VCI between communication users (Add/Drop Multiplexer perform ATM layer processing). See col.12, lines 27-50.

In claims 6 and 14, **Wiley et al.** discloses a broadband interface 106 that receives TDM signals, STS-1 signals ATM cells and transmits each of the signals to cross connect 204, ADM 206 (signal distributer receives first, second and third transport signals, and communicate the transport signals to its associted switching fabric). See col.11, lines 57-65.

Claims 7-9 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiley et al. (US Pat. No. 6,470,018 B1) in view of Chopping (US Pat. No. 6,041,055), in view of Frankel et al. (US Pat. No. 5,187,706), and further in view of Flanagan et al. (US Pat. No. 5,159,595).

Art Unit: 2662

In claims 7 and 15, **Wiley et al.** does not disclose a bridge duplicating the transport signals, and sends the copy of transport signals to primary rate switching fabric and a second time slot interchange. **Frankel et al.** discloses, in Fig.2, broadcast 216 (a bridge) sends a copy (duplicate) of customer traffic (transport signals) from lines 217, 214 to selection 210 at primary central office 102 (primary switching fabric) for restoring traffic when line 213 failes. See col.7, lines 5-15. **Flanagan et al.** discloses a TDM ring connecting nodes 1-4. A node is described in Fig.5 as folllow: STS-1 signal is received at TSI 52 via interface circuit 26 (a first time slot interchange switching transport signal at first level). Transport signals may be received at TSI 54 (second TSI). See col.8, lines 45-50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine the time slot interchanges with **Wiley et al.** to switch /route traffic to different intermediate device in a TDM ring. In addition, it would have been obvious to use the the broadcast 216 of **Frankel et al.** as a bridge in **Willey et al.** for sending a copy of transport signals to a primary switching fabric in order to restore failed communication in a ring network.

In claims 8 and 16, Wiley et al. does not disclose a signal selector receiving transport signals, and facilitate transmission of the transport signals to external network elements.

Flanagan et al. discloses a selector 56, in Fig.5, that receives transport signal STS-1 and transmit to other node in a TDM ring (a signal selector receiving transport signals, and facilitate transmission of the transport signals to external network elements). See col.8, lines 50-55.

In claims 9 and 17, the limitattions of these claims have been addressed in claim 1.

Art Unit: 2662

Claims 2, 5 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiley et al. (US Pat. No. 6,470,018 B1) in view of Chopping (US Pat. No. 6,041,055), and further in view of Flanagan et al. (US Pat. No. 5,159,595).

In claims 2, 5 and 13, Wiley et al. does not disclose a first time slot interchange, a second time slot interchange receiving transport signals. Flanagan et al. discloses a TDM ring connecting nodes 1-4. Node is is described in Fig.5 as follow: STS-1 signal is received at TSI 52 via interface circuit 26 (a first time slot interchange switching transport signal at first level). Transport signals may be received at TSI 54 (second TSI). See col.8, lines 45-50. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to combine the time slot interchanges with Wiley et al. to switch /route traffic to different intermediate device in a TDM ring.

In claim 11 and 12, Wiley et al. does not disclose line card and interface card. Flanagan et al. disclose an interface circuit 26 (interface card). See Fig.5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the interface circuit in the Wiley et al. to transceive transport signals to/ from switching fabrics.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Wiley et al.** (US Pat. No. 6,470,018 B1) in view of **Chopping** (US Pat. No. 6,041,055), and further in view of **Frankel et al.** (US Pat. No. 5,187,706).

In claim 20, **Wiley et al.** discloses, in Fig.5, an interworking unit 202 receives STS-N formatted call, where N is an integer at interface 506 (a primary rate switching fabric receiving transport signal at a first level). See col.18, lines 62-67. The Interworking unit 202 (subrate switching fabric) also receives DS-1 formatted calls (TDM) at interface 510. (See col.19, lines 1-

Art Unit: 2662

5). The TDM call signaling is transmitted via TDM connection 144 (see Fig.2) from a communication device 142 (a subrate switching fabric receiving TDM information). ATM cross connect 204 provides virtual connections (ATM switching fabric receiving third transport signals) carrying ATM cells converted from TDM call signaling; and selects a VCI "A" for transmitting over ATM connection 118 (switching ATM cells among third transport signals). See col.12, lines 27-50. Wiley et al. does not disclose duplicating the transport signals. Frankel et al. discloses, in Fig.2, broadcast 216 (a bridge) sends a copy (duplicate) of customer traffic (transport signals) from lines 217, 214 to selection 210 at primary central office 102 (primary switching fabric) for restoring traffic when line 213 failes. See col.7, lines 5-15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use the broadcast 216 of Frankel et al. for sending a copy of transport signals to a primary switching fabric in Wiley et al. in order to restore failed communication in a ring network.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In claim 21, the prior art does not disclose associating the second plurality of transport signals with a first range of time slots associated with the subrate switching fabric; and associating the third plurality of transport signals with a second range of time slots associated with the ATM switching fabric.

Art Unit: 2662

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Christie et al. (US Pat. No. 6,002,689) discloses System and Method for Interfacing a Local Communication Device.

Dendi et al. (US Pat. No. 6,208,657 B1) discloses Programmable Gateway for a Virtual Bearer Channel Platform.

Sproat et al. (US Pat. No. 6,643,297 B1) discloses Network Service Provider Architecture in Communications Network.

Diaz et al. (US Pat. No. 5,809,021) discloses MultiService Switch for a Telecommunication Network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703 306-4744. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Art Unit: 2662

Hanh Nguyen

November 12, 2003

Page 8